

# OPCRA

OAKLAND PARK  
COMMUNITY  
REDEVELOPMENT  
AGENCY

2017 - 2022

CRA STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN



Prepared by: R. Miller Consulting Group, LLC  
April 19, 2017

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>I:</i>	<i>Executive Summary</i>	<i>Page 1</i>
<i>II:</i>	<i>General Information</i>	<i>Page 3</i>
<i>III:</i>	<i>Oakland Park CRA in Retrospect</i>	<i>Page 4</i>
<i>IV:</i>	<i>Oakland Park CRA The Future 2017 – 2022</i>	<i>Page 12</i>
<i>V:</i>	<i>Conclusion</i>	<i>Page 33</i>
<i>VI:</i>	<i>CRA Project List</i>	<i>Page 34</i>
<i>VII:</i>	<i>2017 – 2022 Action Plan</i>	<i>Page 36</i>
<i>VIII:</i>	<i>Appendix</i>	<i>Page 38</i>
	<i>i. Downtown Mobility Plan Presentation</i>	
	<i>ii. Facilities Study – Phase One</i>	
	<i>iii. Downtown Mixed Use District Zoning Map</i>	

## **I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

In 2002, the Board of County Commissioners of Broward County delegated and conferred upon the City Commission of Oakland Park the authority to create a Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) and the authority to prepare a Community Redevelopment Plan. The City of Oakland Park, utilized the services of a planning team comprised of EDSA, Iler Planning Group, and Carter and Burgess to complete the CRA Plan. The CRA Plan and the activities associated within it were designed to solve the underlying conditions of slum and blight throughout the urban core and its surrounding neighborhoods through sound planning and sustainable development and redevelopment strategies. The 2005, CRA Plan set an overarching guideline for the creation of a vibrant, safe, and walkable atmosphere for residents and visitors to the City of Oakland Park.

The final plan was approved by the Community Redevelopment Agency and the City of Oakland Park City Commission in November of 2005. The Board of County Commissioners of Broward County subsequently approved the Oakland Park CRA Plan on December 13, 2005. Broward County Commission approval of the plan, did not include a commitment of funding for the Oakland Park CRA.

In June of 2007, after a long negotiation process, the City and the County established a funding agreement that released the County from its obligation to provide tax increment financing to the City's CRA Trust Fund, instead opting for the payment of \$13 million in dedicated Redevelopment Capital Program (RCP) funds. RCP funding established a loan-to-grant funding model through which the County would reimburse the City for an approved list of projects over a five-year term. The repayment amount would be mitigated by the taxable value increase associated with the projects.

Unfortunately, the very year the CRA funding agreement with the County was approved marked the beginning of the worst economic recession in the United States since the Great Depression. Despite the financial challenges that existed for all municipal organizations from 2007 – 2012, the City continued throughout this time to allocate resources to the CRA in the amount of \$ 2.1 million. Furthermore, the Oakland Park CRA was able to complete Redevelopment Capital Program Projects in the amount of \$4.9 million. The CRA managed its responsibility to the County through a series of contract revisions meant to responsibly balance its capital commitments in the midst of the worldwide financial crisis. The CRA made strides towards completing its capital program at a more conservative pace due to the economic climate and showed its commitment and trust in its partnership with the County by opting to contract for only what it could afford to complete within the initial five-year agreement.

In preparation for the return of the economy, and in keeping with the requirements set forth in the Oakland Park Community Redevelopment Agency Plan, the City developed a five-year Strategic Action Plan to guide the investment of CRA resources. This Plan was intended to focus and guide the activity of the CRA for Fiscal Years 2012 through 2017. In 2011, the City engaged

the services of the Redevelopment Management Associates (RMA) to complete this Strategic Action Plan which was ultimately adopted in November 2011.

Since that time, the City of Oakland Park has seen the return of positive economic conditions, and as such, the CRA has been steadfast in the completion of capital improvement projects by leveraging City contributions of general fund dollars with various state, local, and federal funding streams to accomplish the projects identified in the document. In addition, the CRA has successfully been able to attract private investment through the implementation incentive programs, strategic public investment in concentrated areas, and an aggressive branding and marketing campaign to promote the Culinary Arts District Concept.

Despite the adversity encountered during the startup of the CRA, the efforts of the Oakland Park CRA have rendered a 55 % growth in taxable value since the base year, which equates to a \$254 million in taxable value. The CRA's annual taxable value increase has outpaced Broward County several years since the start of the recovery in 2012. Oakland Park CRA is at a critical launch point in its maturation. Therefore, it is essential that the agency remain focused and strategic in its investment strategy.


In preparation for the 2018 fiscal year, the City of Oakland Park CRA has engaged R. Miller Consulting Group to develop the FY 2017 - 2022 Strategic Action Plan. Following the overarching goals established in the City's CRA Plan, the 2017 - 2022 plan seeks to focus on strategic investment, innovative public private partnerships, and smart growth principles to propel the redevelopment plans for the CRA. In addition, this Strategic Action Plan focuses on developing a sustainable culinary arts district by expanding the downtown customer base and providing culinary business development resources and programs. It is the CRA's desire to complete catalytic projects to spark increased private investment as the City and the economy rebound from the previous period of decline.

## II. GENERAL INFORMATION

The Oakland Park Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) consists of approximately 1,007 acres of industrial, residential, and commercial uses and makes up slightly more than 19% of the City's land area. The CRA is charged with the responsibility of eliminating conditions of blight that exist within the City and helping improve the quality of life by revitalizing the City's physical, economic, and social resources.

The linchpin of the Community Redevelopment Plan is the Downtown Mixed Use District (DMUD) also known as the Culinary Arts District. The DMUD is located along Dixie Highway, north of Oakland Park Boulevard, consists of approximately 150 acres and represents 15% of the CRA District. This area was designated a Local Activity Center in 2004, a land use category designation that promotes compact, mixed use development. Specific land development regulations and design guidelines were adopted by the City in 2004 for the DMUD. Capital Improvement Projects set forth in the CRA plan were meant to enhance and distinguish Downtown Oakland Park as a local destination.

While Downtown Oakland Park is important to the Community Redevelopment Area, the CRA Plan also paid attention to other commercial and residential areas. The approach to the improvements within the neighborhoods outside of Downtown was to improve pedestrian circulation, green spaces and connections to the urban core.



CRA's generally use tax increment financing (TIF) as a tool to promote economic development by earmarking property tax revenue from increases in assessed values within a designated TIF district, The Oakland Park CRA is referred to as a "TIF-less" CRA, which means that the only tax increment funding that CRA receives is from the City's General Fund. Approved in 2005, the Oakland Park CRA will sunset in the year 2045, per the approved CRA Plan.

The City Commission of the City of Oakland Park acts in the capacity of the CRA Board of Directors. The Agency has a dedicated staff of two professionals. The City Manager is the Executive Director and has delegated authority to the Director of Community and Economic Development to manage the day to day operation of the Oakland Park Community Redevelopment Agency.

### III. OAKLAND PARK CRA IN RETROSPECT

In 2001, the City of Oakland Park first launched its redevelopment initiative, a year later the Broward County Board of County Commissioners conferred the authority to the City to create a CRA. In 2004, the City amended its Comprehensive Plan to designate the Downtown Mixed Use District as a Local Activity Center.

In 2005, the City and County approved the Oakland Park CRA Plan and in 2007 the City and the County came to an agreement that the City would participate in the County's Redevelopment Capital Program in lieu of seeking County participation in tax increment financing. The Oakland Park CRA Plan set forth detailed redevelopment project plans that focused primarily on the downtown redevelopment, but also provided for strategic investment in neighborhoods that would improve future connectivity to the urban core.

In 2011, the CRA adopted the 2012-2017 CRA Strategic Action Plan which established the Culinary Arts District brand for Downtown Oakland Park. This brand provides an outstanding opportunity for the CRA to position the downtown and leverage the success of the Funky Buddha Brewery, who opened in 2013. However, with opportunity comes challenge, and while the culinary brand is innovative and unique, the CRA had to be prepared to overcome the higher than average failure rate of new restaurants as well as the challenges that exist in converting existing retail and or industrial uses into successful restaurant space.

The City's approach to redevelopment called for a phased project plan. The phasing plan separated overall redevelopment projects into two phases. Phase I projects would be accomplished within a 5-year period and Phase II projects would have a ten-year duration. The projects within each phase were broken into three categories: **Capital Improvement Projects, Public Private Partnerships, and Policies and Programs.**

The following section provides a detailed status update on the projects completed by category and phase.

#### **Capital Improvement Projects**

Despite the fact that this CRA was initiated the same year as the recession began, the CRA was able to fully complete four (4) of the ten (10) capital improvement projects originally slated for completion by 2012. In addition, the agency was able to complete a large portion of a \$5.4 M Downtown Infrastructure Project, which was comprised of various roadway and drainage improvement projects. The CRA was also successful in working with Florida Department of

Transportation (FDOT) to leverage State funds to launch two (2) additional projects, leaving only one Phase I project not yet complete. The projects are detailed below:

**PHASE I- COMPLETED CRA PLAN PROJECTS**

Capital Improvement Projects	City/CRA Funds Used
NE 34th Court Improvements	Y
Downtown Gateway Park	Y
South Dixie Highway Gateway	Y
Carter G. Woodson Park Improvements and Expansion	Y

**PHASE I – CRA PROJECTS PARTIALLY COMPLETE OR UNDERWAY**

Capital Improvement Projects	City/CRA Funds Used
<i>Partially Complete</i>	
Downtown Infrastructure Improvements	Y
Traffic Improvements to Kimberly Lakes Neighborhood	Y
Lloyd Estates Elementary School Pedestrian Improvements	Y
<i>Underway</i>	
Buffer Prospect Gardens Neighborhood from I-95	N – FDOT
Oakland Park Elementary School Pedestrian Improvements	FDOT/GF

The Downtown Infrastructure Improvement Project was a combination of five infrastructure projects in the downtown with an estimated cost of \$5.4 million four of the five projects have been completed (designated with strike through below). The one remaining project is included in the overall CRA project list but is not anticipated to begin during the 2017 – 2022 Strategic Plan years.

<del>Reconstruction of the intersection of Oakland Park Blvd Dixie Highway</del>	<del>\$1,000,000</del>
<del>Reconstruction of the intersection of NE 34th Court and Dixie Highway</del>	<del>\$1,500,000</del>
<b>NE 12<sup>th</sup> Terrace Extension</b>	<b>\$1,750,000</b>
<del>Miscellaneous DMUD Drainage Improvements</del>	<del>\$1,000,000</del>
<del>NE 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue Lift Station Upgrade/Replacement</del>	<del>\$ 175,000</del>

**PHASE I – CRA PROJECTS NOT YET COMPLETE**

<b>Capital Improvement Projects</b>	<b>City/CRA Funds Used</b>
Commercial Boulevard and Dixie Highway Gateway	TBD

The Commercial Boulevard and Dixie Highway Gateway Project as originally proposed was intended to improve mass transit amenities at this intersection. The project also proposed funding for intersection improvements and special paving and median improvements along Dixie Highway. Due to the fact that a new bus shelter has already been installed and the Dixie Highway improvements will be otherwise addressed through various mobility improvements, it is recommended that this project be removed from future consideration in the CRA plan.

**Capital Projects – Phase II**

The CRA worked in collaboration with the City to leverage funding during the economic decline and was successful in securing alternate funding sources for a majority of the projects listed in Phase II of the redevelopment effort. The Phase II capital improvement program included seventeen (17) projects with a budget estimate of \$51.5 M. To date, the CRA has completed six (6) of the seventeen (17) projects with an additional nine (9) projects partially complete or currently underway. This leaves only two (2) incomplete projects to be included in the current Strategic Action Plan. The Phase II project status is detailed below:

**PHASE II – COMPLETED CRA PROJECTS**

<b>Capital Improvement Projects</b>	<b>City/CRA Funds Used</b>
Improvements to NE 38th Street	Y
Prospect Road and I-95 Gateway	Y
Improvements to Guisti Park	Y
Expansion of the Public Boat Ramp along the MR	Y
Reconstruction of NE 13 Avenue (NE 38 to St to NE 32 St)	Y
Train Whistle Quieting	N-MPO



**PHASE II - CRA PROJECTS PARTIALLY COMPLETE OR UNDERWAY**

Capital Improvement Projects	City/CRA Funds Used
Floranada Industrial District Improvements	Y
Improvements to Prospect Road	N-MPO
Improvements to Andrews Avenue	Y
Improvements to NE 6th Avenue	N-FDOT
Wimberly Athletic Complex Parking and Collins Park Expansion	Y
Major Intersection Improvements	Y
Minor Intersection Improvements	Y
* Improvements to NW/NE 41st Street	Y
*Improvements to NE 5th Avenue	N-CDBG

\*SCOPE REVISED DUE TO REASSESSMENT OF IMMEDIATE NEEDS AND BUDGET AVAILABILITY

**PHASE II - CRA PROJECTS NOT YET COMPLETE**

Capital Improvement Projects	City/CRA Funds Used
Improvements to NE 8th Avenue	TBD
Improvements NE 12 <sup>th</sup> Terrace South of Oakland Park	TBD

**Public Private Partnerships**

In 2005, the CRA and the City Commission anticipated the potential need for “gap financing” via the Community Redevelopment Agency to ensure that the right kind of development is encouraged in the Downtown. The initial plan focused the partnership opportunities in three areas in the Downtown; more specifically defined as Park Place, Dixie Mixed Use (East) Development, and Dixie Mixed Use (West) Development. These focus areas all stressed the need for land acquisition funding to ensure the availability of developable space to construct parking, implement mobility improvements, as well as provide for the development of public gathering space and installation of public art. Furthermore, the CRA Plan called for the issuance of a Request for Proposal for the development of lots located on the west side of Dixie Highway and NE 38 Street currently held by the City.

All the Public Private Partnership Projects were anticipated to be completed within Phase I of Redevelopment (i.e. first 5 years of CRA). However, due in large part to the state of the economy from 2007 – 2012 the CRA was unable to move forward with plans to develop mixed use facilities

or find a viable partner to have the financing to construct during the economic decline. Although the larger scale initiatives would have to wait out the economy, the CRA continued to leverage partnerships and grant opportunities to complete projects in the public realm during the downturn. This in-turn resulted in significant private investment, eliminating the need for CRA funds in several of the identified areas.

As the economy began to rebound in 2012, the agency was poised to take advantage of the recovery due to the approval of the CRA 2012-2017 Strategic Action Plan in November 2011. This plan provided greater specificity for redevelopment initiatives in the downtown based on the new economic condition. The Strategic Action Plan also included redevelopment initiatives outside of the Downtown area.

There have been multiple private investment projects completed on CRA target sites, most of which required very little capital outlay on the part of the City or the CRA. The largest and most prominent of those private investments completed to date are Funky Buddha Brewery (Oakland Station Warehouse) and Allied Kitchen and Bath (Sikes property). Together, these private redevelopment projects have added an additional \$5.2 million in taxable value within the CRA. CRA direct incentives for the two projects totaled \$34,000, resulting in a return on investment to date of 136% as measured by property tax revenue increases.

There have been four (4) projects completed under the Downtown/Dixie Mixed Use (East) Initiative. These projects have laid the groundwork for the increased investment in the Downtown. The projects are detailed below:

**PHASE I – PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP STATUS**

<b>Public Private Partnerships</b>	<b>Status</b>
<b><i>Dixie Mixed Use West – Downtown Oakland Park</i></b>	
Central Downtown – Squires Property	<b>Private Investment – Complete</b> Park Plaza. CRA gave \$10,000 in façade improvement
Development of West Dixie Lots - Long Term Mixed Use	Not Complete
<b><i>Dixie Mixed Use East – Downtown Oakland Park North</i></b>	
North End Urban Residential	<b>Private Investment – Complete</b> Eastside Village
3700 Block Parking Lot Improvement Project	<b>City/CRA Funds – Complete</b>
Central Downtown – Sikes Property	<b>Private Investment – Complete</b> Allied Kitchen and Bath. CRA gave \$30,000 in façade improvements and City issued \$2.3M in parking waivers to incentivize development.
Downtown Oakland Park Project Details	
Jaco Pastorius Park Expansion	<b>City/CRA Funds – Complete</b>
Compatible Warehouse Aquaculture and other Culinary Uses	<b>Private Investment- Complete</b> Funky Buddha, \$4,000 in CRA Incentive

Open Dining to 38 St	<b>City/CRA Funds– Complete</b> Funky Buddha, Alberte’s Restaurant
Parking Events Venue Facility NE 38th St and Dixie	<b>City/CRA Funds – Complete</b>
Jaco Pastorius Park Culinary Component	Not Complete
Long Term Mixed Use SE Corner of 38th St and Dixie	City opted to implement transitional surface parking
Coastal Link Train Station	Not Complete
South Gateway to Downtown	Scope Change / Underway
<b>Other CRA Projects</b>	
Watts Estates Housing Development	<b>Broward County -Complete</b> - Funded by Broward County Housing Authority
Middle River Site	<b>Private Investment -Complete</b> Point of Middle River Development
Kmart Site on Oakland Park	<b>Private Investment – Complete</b> Walmart Development Site
Festival Market Shopping Center Rehab	<b>Private Investment – Complete</b> completed full façade improvement
Rehab Shopping Centers - Prospect Road	<b>City CRA - Underway</b> - \$176,921 in Façade Grants given to date, \$1.1 M for Prospect Road Parking Lot

The 2012 Strategic Action Plan established a redevelopment plan for the area referred to as the South Gateway, generally located at the corner of Oakland Park Boulevard and NE 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue. The 2012 Plan called for a public private partnership to create a high-profile restaurant/urban hotel or mixed use building. Since the adoption of this plan, the City has made investments in the south gateway through the construction of the arch, as well as plans for the construction of the South Gateway Fountain and Public Plaza. Moving forward, the recommendation is to remove from consideration the development of a restaurant and urban hotel at this location, instead opting for a substantial entrance feature, cultural facility, and public gathering space. The development of a City iconic entrance and gathering space will serve as the linchpin for redevelopment to the downtown. The CRA and the City have been successful in obtaining a Cultural Facilities Grant to support the development of the South Gateway Plaza and will continue to seek partnerships with adjacent property owners to redevelop this prominent downtown location.

**Policies and Programs**

The Plan detailed the need for certain Policies and Programs to be implemented in Phase I of the redevelopment efforts. These policies were primarily centered around land use changes and the implementation of quality of life programs that focused on community appearance, public art, and affordable housing.

Based on the housing market decline, the CRA made the conscious decision to place a hold on programs aimed at affordable housing and instead focused its efforts on community appearance and public art programs. The CRA also postponed the implementation of various land use

changes that could have ultimately been proven ineffective in light of the changing real estate market conditions. The policies and programs are detailed below:

**PHASE I – POLICIES AND PROGRAM STATUS**

Policies and Programs	Status
Oakland Festival Center Land Use Change	<b>Land Use Change Not Pursued</b> due to private Investment
Kmart Site Land Use Change	<b>Land Use Change Not Pursued</b> due to private Investment - Walmart
Community Appearance Program	<b>On-Going</b> the City currently has implemented Beautification programs, code sweeps, and made changes to code to improve quality of life issues
Public Art Program	<b>On Going</b>
Commercial Facade Program	<b>On Going</b>
Relocation Assistance	<b>On Going</b>
Strategic Investment Program	<b>On Going</b>
Marketing/ Branding Campaign	<b>On Going</b>
East Harlem McBride Land Use Change	On Hold – Implementation postponed due to real estate market instability.
H and S Subdivision Land Use Change	On Hold - Implementation postponed due to real estate market instability.
NE 12th Terrace Land Use Change	On Hold - Implementation postponed due to real estate market instability.
Central Park Industrial Center Land Use Change	On Hold - Implementation postponed due to real estate market instability.
Future Prospect Gardens Pocket Park Land Use Change	On Hold - Implementation postponed due to real estate market instability.
Attainable Housing	TBD Based on Housing Study Outcome
Condominium Conversion Program	Not Complete –NA <b><i>*Recommend Removal from Plan</i></b>

As noted above, there is one project recommended for removal from the Plan. The Condominium Conversion Program is recommended for removal because it is no longer relevant to the 2017 condition. In 2005, housing prices were skyrocketing and low quality condominium conversions were prevalent, at the time the CRA planned to set aside funds through a Condominium Conversion Program to ensure the quality of condominium conversion and availability of affordable housing. Since the downturn in the real estate market, condominium conversion market has flattened, therefore it is recommended that this project be removed from consideration. It should be noted that the Attainable Housing Program will be under review in the upcoming months, pending the outcome of the City’s Affordable Housing Study.

**PHASE II – POLICIES AND PROGRAMS STATUS**

Policies and Programs	Status
Retail Market Analysis	Complete
Waterfront Promenade along the Middle River	<b><i>*Reserved for future feasibility</i></b>
Floranada Design District	Not Complete
Midtown Commercial Regulations	Not Complete
Historic Oakland Park- Harlem McBride	Planning underway
Green Building Program	Underway as a part of City ESCO and PACE program participation
Arts District	Underway will be part of NE 12 Terrace Planning and Design
Adjacent Land Use/Buffer Study	Underway part of 2017 LUPA RFP
Community Policing Program	<b><i>*Reserved if needed</i></b>

The City of Oakland Park CRA as detailed above has experienced significant private investment that occurred before the implementation of new land use regulations. Two such projects of note occurred along the Middle River. These new developments combined with existing residential communities along the waterway delayed plans for a waterfront promenade . As such, it is recommended that the project be placed in a reserved status, until future changes in the land use present an opportunity to complete all or a portion of the promenade.

The second project, being placed in reserved status is the Community Policing Program. Chapter 163.358 FS, provides that municipalities may approve the development of community policing innovations, if detailed in the CRA Plan. Over the years, the Oakland Park CRA has not needed to use funds towards policing efforts in these areas. It is anticipated however, that when the downtown development takes root, there may be a need for community policing programs. As such, the CRA is reserving the possibility of a need for the community policing programs to be a part of their efforts in future years.

## IV. OAKLAND PARK CRA THE FUTURE: 2017-2022

### Goals

The City of Oakland Park CRA Plan set forth goals for the long-term development of the CRA. The Goals were developed in light of the local and national market conditions that existed at its inception in 2005 and took into account solid smart growth principles that could guide the agency throughout the term of its existence. They are as follows:

- ❖ Redevelopment of the Downtown
- ❖ Connections to Downtown
- ❖ Strengthen Neighborhoods
- ❖ Increase Green Space
- ❖ Increase Attainable Housing Options

While the change in the real estate market impacted the course of action needed to accomplish these goals, it did not substantially impact the relevance of the 2005 goal statements. The word substantially is operatively used due to the significant change in real estate market since 2007 and its impact on how municipal governments must operate . Before the economic crisis in South Florida, municipal governments became used to double digit growth in advalorem tax base year over year, which provided the ability to tolerate slightly greater risk profiles when it came to redevelopment.

Post crisis, municipalities and CRA's were forced to re-tool the way in which they project growth in tax base, evaluate the risk profile for redevelopment projects, and become more conservative about the general cost of construction in the current market. In light of this shift, the 2017 - 2022 Strategic Action Plan calls for two minor clarifications to the CRA Goal statements regarding green space and housing:

- ✓ No Revision Recommended - Redevelopment of Downtown
- ✓ No Revision Recommended - Connections to Downtown
- ✓ No Revision Recommended - Strengthen neighborhoods
- ❖ **Revision Recommended - ~~Increase~~ Increasing and Replenishing Green Space**
- ❖ **Revision Recommended - Increase attainable Availability of well-planned housing options**

These revisions are being recommended because when they were adopted in 2005, the market condition and or prevailing best practices in these areas were different. More specifically, the housing goal statement was meant to address the drastic annual rise in housing costs nationwide. This housing boom created a valid concern about the long-term affordability of quality housing in the Oakland Park CRA. However, post the 2007 housing market decline,

property values in Oakland Park indicate that the City has greater than its proportionate share of affordable housing as compared to the rest of Broward County. Therefore, the issue which must be addressed in 2017, is the need for higher quality housing stock throughout the CRA and specifically the need for well-planned housing opportunities to support the Downtown and CRA businesses. This minor clarification aligns the CRA goals with the market conditions that exist today.

In addition, the green space goal needed a minor clarification to align it with the efforts of the City to improve quality of life and focus on the need to increase and replenish green spaces. “Green spaces” in the current context is meant to include parks, greenways, streetscapes, landscaping and beautification. This small tweak makes the approach more comprehensive and is aimed at improving quality of life and access to green space for a greater number of residents.

The remaining CRA goals are still in line with the current economic condition and maintain a clear vision that the Oakland Park CRA is still committed to the goal of developing a sound Community Redevelopment Plan with a focus on catalytic investment and/or projects for the Community Redevelopment Area.

**Guiding Principles for CRA Strategy 2017-2022**

As a requirement of the Oakland Park CRA Plan, the CRA Board must update and amend the Plan every five years to reflect changing conditions. The standard updating process should involve the following:

1. Updated data and analysis;
2. Revised capital improvements program and other redevelopment strategy recommendations;
3. Review by Local Planning Agency; and
4. Consideration by CRA Board and City Commission.

In an effort to address the CRA update requirements and adopt the most effective Strategic Plan for the future, the City Administration built a strong team of professionals to lead the redevelopment planning effort. This team of professionals, made up of both CRA and City Staff, consultants, stakeholders, and various industry thought leaders was assembled by City Administration in January of 2016. This planning team was tasked with analyzing municipal demographics, evaluating market conditions, reviewing planning and zoning regulations, contemplating facilities utilization, evaluating transportation planning opportunities, reviewing economic development best practices, and studying real estate market opportunities.

Having clear goals and guiding principles with which to implement these goals is the key to the effective implementation of any large-scale initiative. The redevelopment of the CRA district is certainly a large-scale and aspirational initiative which has been thoughtfully contemplated by the Oakland Park City Commission and the CRA Board of Directors.

As such, City Administration took into consideration the goals of these bodies and embraced six guiding principles established in a January 2016 publication by the United States Environmental Protection Agency's, Office of Sustainable Communities, Smart Growth Program entitled *"Framework for Creating a Smart Growth Economic Development Strategy: A Tool for Small Cities and Towns"*.

These Guiding Principles are as follows:

- ❖ **Make the distinction between "growth" and "investment."**
- ❖ **Be tactical and strategic**
- ❖ **Be focused**
- ❖ **Start where there is already momentum**
- ❖ **Find the right partners for specific goals**
- ❖ **Communicate and coordinate**

The following sections detail the plans, initiatives, and activities that shaped the 2017-2022 CRA Strategic Action Plan. These actions are presented according to the guiding principle that informed staff's view of the task. The information is being presented in this fashion to highlight the importance of aligning goals and principles to the activities of the CRA , as well as ensuring that the redevelopment strategy behind the initiatives are clearly communicated to the governing body and the community.



## **Guiding Principle One: Growth vs. Investment**

It was important that the City and the CRA implement initiatives to ensure that the CRA continues to effectively eradicate slum and blight while supporting the growth and expansion of existing businesses. As such, the planning team recommended several programs which were adopted by the City Commission and CRA Board that support and improve the financial stability of long standing business owners and residents, thereby building on their ongoing private investment.

Through these initiatives, the City and the CRA invested in strengthening codes, improving code compliance, and enhancing landscape beautification, installing new banners, implementing a robust wayfinding program, and encouraging safe street amenities throughout the City and the CRA in particular.

These efforts include :

### ***Adoption of Uniform Landscape Streetscape Design Guidelines & Standards***

The Uniform Landscape and Streetscape Design Standards establishes a brand of landscaping and streetscaping citywide. It provides landscape uniformity on both public and private lands; modernizes and adds flexibility by allowing alternative/sustainable designs to the existing landscape requirements; encourages Native/Florida Friendly plant lists; encouraged Hardy/low maintenance plant lists; creates a Homeowner’s Guide for quick reference.

### ***Community Enhancement Lien Compliance Initiative***

The City identified and prioritized over 300 high impact commercial properties that are currently not compliant with the landscape code or have other accumulating fines. Community Enhancement staff increased communication with these owners and in a one year period has been able to work cooperatively with a total of 38 businesses/properties to gain compliance. All property owners, who as a result of this initiative have brought their properties into voluntary compliance, have been invited to attend a Commission meeting to be recognized for their efforts. In order to continue the momentum with this list of businesses,

Make the distinction between “growth” and “investment.”

“Not all communities are necessarily growing. However, in most cases, businesses, individuals, and/or public agencies continue to make investments in the community even during periods of decline. Building on ongoing investment(s), rather than “growth” as defined by increasing employment, population, or tax base, is essential to redevelopment.”

EPA, 2016, Framework for Creating a Smart Growth Economic Development Strategy: A Tool for Small Cities and Towns.

the City hired a third party under a pilot program to consistently increase the level of communication with the remaining noncompliant businesses with the goal of gaining greater compliance.

### ***Enhanced Maintenance of the City's Landscaping***

More funding has been budgeted to increase the level of service for landscape maintenance contracts, throughout the City and in the CRA in particular.

### ***New Policies Implemented to Require Beautification Components in all Infrastructure Capital Improvement Projects (CIP)***

Prior to the Landscape Beautification Initiative, it was not a standard for all CIP projects to include funding for landscaping and beautification in the immediate area. It is now mandatory that all CIP projects, regardless of whether the improvement is above or below ground, include a beautification component.

### ***Proud Oakland Park (POP) Star Program Award for Enhanced Landscaping Improvements***

In an effort to communicate the importance of beautification, the City implemented a monthly recognition program that highlights businesses that have implemented enhanced landscaping. These businesses will be the **POP Stars of the Month** and are presented an award at a City Commission Meeting. Winners are promoted on the City's website, social media sites and through a citywide email blast.

### ***CRA Landscaping Incentive City Matching Grant Program***

To incentivize the beautification effort in the CRA district, the CRA expanded the Façade program to include landscaping improvements as a part of its matching grant program. This program is available to any business within the CRA District.

### ***Expand the CRA Façade Incentive City Matching Grant Program***

Prior to the implementation of the Landscape Beautification Program, the Façade program was only available to businesses in the Downtown area. The CRA expanded the matching grant program to make it available districtwide.

## Guiding Principle Two – Tactics and Strategy


In line with the principle of being tactical and strategic, the planning team worked to evaluate the Oakland Park CRA’s current position in the broader South Florida market. More specifically, the team focused on understanding how best to invest time and resources in the public and private realm. In the private realm, the team focuses specifically on identifying Oakland Park’s target market retailers and understanding the buying power of the Oakland Park consumer. In the public realm, the team evaluated the condition of City assets and how best to utilize them to provide better municipal services and while catalyzing development.

This was accomplished through :

### **Retail/ Target Market Study:**

In 2015, the CRA engaged the services of The Retail Coach, to conduct a retail market analysis in the CRA. The purpose of this analysis was to study the retail base in the City, understand the consumer demographics and geography that drives commerce to prepare a comprehensive strategy for retail recruitment.

The Retail Coach market analysis found that the City of Oakland Park enjoys a diverse population of 41,831 residents, with 59%



classifying themselves as White, 27.9% African American or Black, and 13% Other. 29% of the population is Hispanic/Latino. The City

## **Be tactical and strategic**

“A smart growth economic development plan should include broad, long-term strategies that set overall direction and objectives for any economic development-related activities and investments. The plan should also identify short-term, tactical actions that address specific barriers or challenges to attaining the longer-term vision. While the long-term strategies might not change for several years, tactics should be updated on a regular basis to reflect changing conditions and opportunities.”

EPA, 2016, Framework for Creating a Smart Growth Economic Development Strategy: A Tool for Small Cities and Towns.

is a stable community with 58% of the residences being owner occupied with 42 % being renter occupied.

With respect to geographic impacts on the retail market, the consultant noted that due to the compact nature of South Florida communities, the City should expand its trade area to include parts of neighboring communities. The study outlined the demographics of the potential customer base living outside the City that were likely to support Oakland Park retail markets, and introduced the concept of defining the City's greater Retail Trade Area.

The Oakland Park Retail Trade Area as defined by this study, expands the potential consumer base City of Oakland Park businesses to a population of 208,023 people, with 44 % classifying themselves as White, 49% as African American or Black, and 7 % Other. 16% of identify as Hispanic or Latino. The retail trade area customers were then broken into five Psychographic Lifestyle Segments, which will be used to communicate the Oakland Park consumers', demographic characteristics, attitudes, lifestyles, purchase behaviors, and work patterns to potential investors in the CRA district.

Finally, the data from this study armed the City and the CRA with valuable statistics on the retail opportunity that exists, finding most notably that that Oakland Park could capture over \$2 Billion of retail sales that are currently occurring outside of the City but within the Retail Trade Area. This information coupled with the demographic and psychographic information will be key as the City continues to actively recruit target retailers to fill the downtown mixed-use developments.

### **Facilities Study Update:**

#### **Consistent with the 2010 Facilities Study, the 2017 Facility Study affirmed the need to assess the viability and best use of City Facilities.**

In 2010, the City Commissioned a Facilities Study to evaluate the condition of City facilities and projected future needs for space. The 2010 study noted that most of the existing buildings were originally constructed 20-40 years ago, often for entirely different functional purposes, and subsequently have undergone a series of modifications and "piece-meal" additions that have tended to compromise their functionality. The 2010 study also noted significant issues regarding compliance with current building and fire codes as well as accessibility and overcrowding issues. The 2010 study made four key recommendations on how to address the issues found;

- 1.) consolidate personnel and services at appropriate facilities to reduce the citywide facility area
- 2.) vacate and cease maintenance of under-utilized and defunct facilities which have exceeded their useful life
- 3.) sell or lease viable properties, and/or maintain properties at nominal operational expense to hold for future development;

4) upgrade and repair facilities which will be utilized into the foreseeable future to reduce annual maintenance expenditure and operational costs.

## **Guiding Principles Three and Four – Focus and Momentum**

In 2017, the planning team worked with an outside consultant to update the 2010 Study and reevaluate the findings. While minor repairs to facilities have been made to address life safety and access issues since 2010, the findings of the first phase of the 2017 Facility Study update generally found the same condition. As such, if the City determines that addressing the viability and useful life of the City facilities will be a priority, the CRA should be prepared financially to capitalize on the catalytic opportunity that the potential relocation of City hall would have on the redevelopment of downtown. In any event, the CRA should engage in land acquisition opportunities in an around the downtown in order to position itself to aggregate and control properties for future redevelopment opportunities in and around City Hall.

The CRA district encompasses 1,007 acres within the City of Oakland Park and of that the Downtown Mixed Use District represents approximately 150 acres of the CRA. Redevelopment of the downtown has always been an important focus of the CRA Planning activities. In line with the principles of being focused and starting where there is already momentum, the 2017-2022 Strategic Action Plan maintains a continuing focus on the downtown.

The planning team worked on planning initiatives that will set the stage for smart growth that encourages the right mix of uses and a sense of place that is uniquely Oakland Park.

They are as follows:

### **Downtown Mobility Plan:**

With interest in the Downtown District increasing, the need to comprehensively plan for the anticipated development is paramount to the City and the CRA. The improvement in the economy has brought exciting new investment in blighted industrial properties, adaptive re-use, and new restaurant, office, and retail space. The one common theme among businesses and prospective developments in the downtown is the concern over long term solutions for parking and traffic circulation. The planning team saw the need to holistically address all modes of transportation and to create a well-planned system overall in the downtown district. This “mobility” plan would have an end goal of

### **Be focused**

“Investments of time, money, and other community resources are most effective when targeted to an area that is both big enough to offer opportunities for change and small enough to make tangible, visible improvements that will spur investment. Over time, small focus areas can be expanded to build on successes.”

### **Start where there is already momentum**

“Economic development efforts are most effective in places where there is already some private-sector activity so that public investments can reinforce and support investment by individual homeowners, business owners, commercial property owners, and/or banks and other financial institutions. Once these initial investments start to show success, it will be easier to attract additional investment to nearby locations, thus spreading the momentum incrementally over time.”

EPA, 2016, Framework for Creating a Smart Growth Economic Development Strategy: A Tool for Small Cities and Towns.

encouraging well planned movement of all modes of transportation to promote economic development.

Therefore, in 2016, the City and the CRA engaged The Corradino Group to complete a Mobility Study for the Downtown District. The goal of the Mobility Plan was to:

- Get people to the District in an easy manner
- Reduce reliance on personal automobiles
- Provide infrastructure to support alternative modes of transportation
- Encourage foot traffic once within the district to promote economic development

The Downtown Mobility Plan established strategies to improve the downtown in six categories:

- Parking
- Vehicular Circulation
- Pedestrian Connectivity
- Bicycle Connectivity
- Transit – Rail
- Transit- Local

#### Parking Strategies

- Abandon the practice of issuing parking impact fee waivers
- Establish a new parking impact fee calculation that increases the cost per space to be in line with current cost of constructing structured parking
- Structure new impact fee requirements to give exemptions or lesser parking impact fee requirements for businesses that embrace multi-modal services
- Acquire land to develop surface lots at the edge of the district to encourage foot traffic into the core
- Revise current suburban parking codes to be in line with the urban condition of the downtown
- Encourage new structured parking facilities to be mixed use

#### Vehicular Circulation Improvement Strategies

- Implement roadway improvements along NE 12th Avenue to facilitate 2-way traffic from Oakland Park Boulevard North to NE 38th Street in the Short Term
- Reduce traffic into the district by reducing access to on-street parking
- Set specific times for loading zones/freight to businesses
- As the district matures, implement partial closures along NE 12 Avenue to promote long term goal of full closure
- Repurpose NE 12 Avenue as a pedestrian Mall

### Pedestrian Improvement Strategies

- Acquire land to complete the sidewalk network and improve amenities that create a walkable district (shade, lighting, seating, improved wayfinding)
- Once land has been acquired, implement greenways over time to connect district edge parking to the core of the district
- Once land has been acquired, construct mixed use paths, greenways, and enhanced crossings to ensure connectivity of the east and west side of the district

### Bicycle Connectivity Improvement Strategies

- Include Bicycle facility improvements on future main roadway improvements throughout the district
- Install more bicycle racks and Bikeshare stations

### Transit Rail

- Continue talks with FEC to plan for proper placement in the Downtown and planning for parking near the platform

### Transit Local Shuttle

- Establish micro-transit shuttles in the downtown

### **Updated Downtown Mixed-Use District Land Use and Zoning:**

The City of Oakland Park Downtown Mixed Use District (DMUD) is a Local Activity Center spanning approximately 1 square mile centered by Dixie Highway and the Florida East Coast Railway (FEC). In 2004, the City of Oakland Park amended its Land Development Code to establish the DMUD and adopted the accompanying Oakland Park Mixed Use District Design Guidelines. The DMUD process and resulting legislation embodied the best practices as it relates to fostering smart growth, economic development, and created locations for public events and activities. However, subsequent to the adoption of this thoughtful policy and the creation of the CRA, the economic downturn occurred which limited the City's ability to test the effectiveness of this legislation, due to the lack of development.

The City Commission and the CRA Board of Directors expressed concern that the 2004 DMUD codes may need to be reevaluated to assess current relevance. In an effort to address these concerns the redevelopment working group gathered concerns, ideas, and best practices from local businesses, residents, economic development, and culinary industry professionals to identify potential gaps in the DMUD that need to be addressed to move the downtown into the future.



This collaborative process showed that a great majority of the 2004 legislation was still sound however a few revisions would better ensure smart growth in the downtown. The revisions centered around concerns regarding the proliferation of adaptive reuse versus full scale redevelopment, parking code inadequacies, ineffective minimum lot assembly requirements for residential and commercial development, the need for improved development standards for multi-family developments.

In addition, the recommendation was made to begin planning for longer term Land Use Plan Amendments to address the need for additional housing units and commercial square footage in the downtown, as well as the desire to combine some of the existing DMUD sub-areas to promote more mixed-use development in the downtown.

The City and the CRA will issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a qualified Planning Firm to prepare the short term and long term land use plan amendments detailed above. The RFP should also address the remaining land use changes proposed in the CRA Plan but not yet adopted.

#### **Architectural Guideline Development for Downtown:**

The final plan is the Architectural Guidelines for Downtown Development. The City Commission and the CRA Board of Directors have been very clear about their desire to keep Downtown Oakland Park unique but complementary to community characteristics. In expressing that vision, the City will promote low to midrise development with well-planned streets that retain connectivity to the community and an eclectic mix of design and architectural elements that will work together to create an urban form that is distinctively Oakland Park.

Currently, the void in the code is that it does not define that “distinctive Oakland Park” aesthetic. The need for that definition is the driving force behind the creation of an Architectural Guideline for Downtown Development. The purpose of the guidelines will be to achieve a better urban environment through attention to fundamental design principles. The Design Guideline is meant to offer a flexible tool as an alternative to prescriptive zoning requirements, which will allow new development to complement the distinctive character of Oakland Park.

The Request for Proposals (RFP) will incorporate the task to establish an illustrative Architectural Design Guideline and Design Review tool that creates the “distinctive Oakland Park” aesthetic for the downtown.

## **Guiding Principle Five- The Right Partners**

The Oakland Park CRA Plan has always incorporated public private partnerships as a tool for achieving large scale mixed redevelopment of key sites in the downtown area. The 2017-2022 Strategic Action Plan continues to focus on the downtown as an essential part of the overall success of the larger CRA redevelopment effort.



Furthermore, these public private partnership projects are necessary to ensure that there is a sufficient customer base in the downtown to support the new businesses. Developing a strategy to address the residential void, will mitigate the fact that the downtown has not yet become a “destination”, it will provide the foot traffic metrics that will be a part of a retailers analysis when deciding to invest in the downtown.

The CRA’s ability to make strides towards the development of these lots would allow the CRA to capitalize on the current demand from both millennials and empty nesters for mixed-use residential products.

The planning team has identified several opportunities that establish an exciting path of potential successful development in the Downtown. They are depicted in the image above. As noted in the guiding principles, these public private partnerships may require working across public agencies in addition to the private partners to secure the funding needed for implementation.

### **Find the right partners for specific goals**

“Successful economic development efforts rely on partnerships across public agencies, especially when different types of funding are involved. Engaging these partners for specific and mission-appropriate goals is more effective than trying to seek support for broad or poorly defined initiatives. Communities might also set goals to align with specific funding sources to improve the odds of securing money for implementation.”

EPA, 2016, Framework for Creating a Smart Growth Economic Development Strategy: A Tool for Small Cities and Towns.

## Request for Proposals for West Dixie Lots

The City of Oakland Park currently holds two vacant parcels located on the northwest and southwest corner of Dixie Highway and NE 38 Street. The combined acreage for both lots total 2.07 acres. Situated within the Oakland Park Downtown, Culinary Arts District, the sites are zoned Downtown Mixed Use - Dixie Mixed Use. This zoning permits mixed uses including commercial and multi-family residential at a density of up to 45 units per acre.

In line with the CRA Plan, the City and the CRA desire to redevelop the two parcels through the issuance of a Request for Proposals (RFP) for a public private partnership development agreement. This RFP process would secure a qualified private partner to develop a high-quality mixed use project that includes residential, retail, public art and or gathering spaces, and public parking. This project is across the street from Funky Buddha Brewery and is meant to be the embodiment of the desired future development aesthetic for the surrounding area.



In order to increase the potential for the CRA and City to attract the right development team, the CRA should select consultants (planner, architect, real estate) to conduct a massing analysis of the lots to create viable building block scenarios, with consideration given to the City's desires for mixed use, optimal height, and character of the building. The consultants should also create renderings of the building to express look, feel, and caliber of development. These renderings would be included with the Request for Proposal.

The CRA Plan called for \$1M in gap financing in 2005, to incentivize development. Using the massing analysis, provided by the consultant, the CRA would have the ability to more realistically establish pro-forma(s) for the project and estimate the necessary funding gap. In addition, the CRA should assemble a team of professionals to assist the City and CRA staff in writing, communicating, and evaluating the public private partnership proposals submitted for this project. Finally, the City and the CRA should explore the possible advantages of a transferring the ownership of the westside lots to the CRA. The City may benefit from the flexibility of the provisions for the disposition of property under Community Redevelopment statute provisions (Chapter 163.380, F.S.).

## Redevelopment of L Shaped Building

The City currently owns a 16,098 sf two story building on the northeast corner of Jaco Pastorius Park. A 5000sf section of the building is currently occupied by the Urban Farming Institute under a contractual agreement to provide community garden and other agricultural services to the City. In the 2012 CRA Strategic Update, the CRA adopted the concept of creating a culinary market in the downtown to support the Culinary Arts District brand. Since that time, the Planning team has worked with culinary industry professionals to develop the concept of a Culinary Artisanal Marketplace in the “L-Shaped Building”. The location of the facility leverages the investments already made by the City and the CRA to expand Jaco Pastorius Park, and to connect



the Park to the downtown through the construction of the Grand Plaza featuring an archway and dancing fountain.

The Culinary Artisanal Marketplace is envisioned to be a local gathering place for great food with a diverse tenant mixture of local food vendors, artisan cafes, and organic or “Fresh from Florida” produce. As delineated

in the original plan, the market place would be a community asset that would improve the food culture in the City through education, community outreach and support for local businesses.

In order to fully evaluate the feasibility of a culinary marketplace in the “L-shaped building” the CRA should engage a professional consulting team that has experience developing and or leasing these kind of specialty marketplaces to assist in successfully redeveloping and marketing, and operating the site. The CRA should establish strategic partnerships with culinary industry leaders in the areas of culinary education, hospitality marketing, sustainable food vendors, organic purveyors, and local farmers to secure strong long term partnerships for the building.

If the Culinary Marketplace is found to be feasible and the City and the CRA have forged the right partnerships to ensure the viability of the market, the City may then move forward with plans to redevelop and market the facility. The City and the CRA should consider a public private partnership to redevelop and operate the market long term.

## **Potential Redevelopment of City Owned Properties in the Downtown**

The City of Oakland Park City Hall Complex and adjacent publicly owned lands equals four (4) acres of prime real estate at the center of the Culinary Arts District and is in close proximity to one of the main economic drivers for the downtown, Funky Buddha Brewery. In light of the findings in the 2010 Facility Study and concurrence of the 2017 Facilities Siting Update which noted that the City Hall building has functionally reached the end of its life expectancy. The City of Oakland Park should consider the relocation of City Hall and the associated amenities to an alternate location (s) within the downtown and determine what the highest and best use for the current City owned properties.

The location of the current municipal properties, would add greater value as a well-planned mixed use facility in the center of the Culinary Arts District. With its location across the tracks from the West Dixie Lots and adjacent to the Funky Buddha, the CRA would be poised to have impactful redevelopment on all four corners in the center of the Culinary arts District. The impact of the full redevelopment of the “center of the downtown” will exponentially increase the probability of quality investment in the surrounding area. In addition, the City and the CRA would be the driving force behind the projects and will have greater control over the density, aesthetic, and sense of place created for future developments to model.



The City and the CRA should evaluate other opportunities to relocate City Hall within the urban core and acquire land adjacent to City Hall to increase the potential for an impactful, high quality, low rise, mixed use development.

## **Redevelopment of the 1299 E. Oakland Park Blvd. Building**

The 2012 CRA Strategic Plan adopted by the CRA, included the potential redevelopment of the 1299 Building as a part of the South Gateway Redevelopment, which proposed a high-end restaurant and urban hotel adjacent to “new private investment” in the 1299 Building. Since that time, the City and the CRA have plans to create a sense of place through the installation of an iconic downtown entryway feature and a proposed new south gateway plaza and fountain. The development of a City iconic entrance and gathering space will serve as the linchpin for redevelopment to the downtown. This new public gathering space will also be a cultural facility for Oakland Park. The south gateway project has been funded by the Florida Division of Cultural

Affairs, Cultural Facilities Grant making the Grand Plaza to the upcoming South Gateway Plaza a new City's Cultural Facility. This is unique because this will be one of the first outdoor roadways to be designated as such in the state.

In order to capitalize on the municipal improvements adjacent to this building, the CRA should establish a partnership with the owners of the 1299 Building to redevelop this location and change the façade to complement the south gateway improvements adjacent to the development.

### **Land Acquisition**

Like most eastern cities in South Florida, land in Oakland Park is at a premium. Due to the historic development patterns, lot sizes are small which presents a challenge to redevelopment. The opportunity amidst this challenge in Oakland Park is that land is still affordable, however, year over year the cost of land increases. It is therefore, essential to the success of the strategies for redevelopment, mobility, and beautification for the CRA to engage in targeted strategic land acquisition throughout the CRA and especially in the Downtown. The acquisition of these properties is key to being able to provide well planned parking on the edge of the district, to providing greenspace, to creating a walkable community, and to aggregating properties for viable development.

### **Redevelopment of the Post Office Building**

Another partnership opportunity that will be valuable to the redevelopment of the downtown is the redevelopment of the post office property. The current site of the post office is located in a prime mixed use location, which is underutilized based on the post offices current operations. The post office retail site gets limited use and does not drive traffic to the Culinary Arts District.

The CRA should work to develop a partnership with the post office, and a private developer to retain a smaller post office facility and redevelop the site into a vibrant mixed use facility in the downtown.



## **Redevelopment Capital Program Financing**

In June of 2007, the City and the County established a funding agreement that released the County from its obligation to provide tax increment financing to the City's CRA Trust Fund, instead opting for the payment of \$13 M in dedicated Redevelopment Capital Program (RCP) funds over a period of five years. RCP established a loan to grant funding model through which the County would reimburse the City for an approved list of projects. The repayment amount would be mitigated by the taxable value increase associated with the project.

Unfortunately, the very year the CRA funding agreement with the County was approved marked the beginning of the worst economic recession in the United States since the Great Depression. Despite the financial challenges that existed for all municipal organizations from 2007 – 2012, the Oakland Park CRA was able to complete \$4.9 M in Redevelopment Capital Program Projects. The CRA managed its responsibility to the County through a series of contract revisions meant to responsibly balance its capital commitments in the midst of the worldwide financial crisis. These contract revisions meant that the Oakland Park CRA was forced to leave RCP funding on the table.

Now that the economy has recovered and the City is able to responsibly demonstrate that the redevelopment projects within the downtown will result in increased tax base, the CRA should continue to negotiate with the County to recover the funds they were forced to abandon during the recession. Broward County will be a key partner to development, as the CRA and the County share common goals for redevelopment, economic development, and commitment to quality housing stock.

## **Alternate Financing Options**

The estimated cost for the projects included in the 2017 – 2022 Strategic Action Plan are detailed in CRA 2017 -2022 Project List section of the report. Overall it is estimated that the CRA may need \$22 million in revenue to finance all the initiatives herein. With an annual budget of \$1.4 M the CRA will need to employ alternate financing options to be able to complete the proposed projects.

Over the next five years the redevelopment initiatives discussed herein can be financed through several funding streams. The City in conjunction with the CRA may leverage grant funding to complete projects. In light of the mobility plan, CRA Strategic Action Plan, and the City's five year CIP, the CRA can show a well-planned program for development, which is looked upon favorably by most grantors. The CRA in partnership with the City is well positioned to successfully obtain grant funding at the federal, state, and local levels for many of the capital projects and public private partnership opportunities contemplated in this plan.

The CRA and the City could also explore the cost benefit of issuing bank notes and or bonds to advance the projects throughout the CRA, depending on the interest rate environment. The benefit of this funding strategy is that the CRA would have the ability to advance the downtown development and take advantage of the current positive real estate market conditions. The CRA

and the City would need to package these issuances strategically to ensure that there is sufficient revenue to support the debt service and the day-to-day operations of the CRA.

In addition to grants and debt issuance, the CRA is also well positioned to leverage the current interest in developing the downtown to its advantage. The CRA could partner with private developers who are constructing projects adjacent to key capital improvement locations to construct or provide funding for the completion of downtown mobility improvements. These partnerships could be viable because the development community understands that if the quality of life surrounding their development is improved so too is their property value. Furthermore, the CRA plan provides for \$8.7 million in potential public private partnership project dollars. Depending on the structure of the redevelopment project, the CRA may not have to outlay dollars, these partnerships may come in the form of impact or permit fee waivers, tax increment rebates, or grant partnership agreements.

Finally, should the City choose to move forward with the consolidation of City administrative services to a single site and in turn relocate the City facilities, there is substantial revenue that could be generated. Due to the fact that there is great land value for the City properties in the downtown as well as exceptional value in the “opportunity” to place a development in the center of the Culinary Arts District, the City is well positioned to leverage this site. The City could utilize the proceeds of the sale to mitigate the cost of land acquisition, consolidation of operations, and the construction of a new City Hall facility.



## **Guiding Principle Six – Communicate and Coordinate**

City Administration saw the potential pitfalls associated with having the many plans and programs addressed herein being implemented simultaneously. As such, the planning team met regularly to share ideas, information, plans, and concepts so that the various departments considered the impacts across the agency as well as with the private stakeholders.

This planning team model worked to ensure that interagency communication was effective. However, the planning team also noted the need for the CRA to continue to communicate its successes and market itself to the external audience. The marketing and branding effort for the CRA has many layers, the most notable being the branding of the Downtown Culinary Arts District. The CRA must communicate the availability of its programs and incentives to the business community to advance its mission of development for our existing business community.

### **Branding and Marketing Plan**

The branding recommendations for the CRA were initiated in 2012, with focus given to the Downtown District. In 2015, the City advanced the branding initiative by hiring a marketing and advertising firm and an event planning professional to assist staff with implementing the CRA branding and marketing strategies. The CRA branding initiatives are framed around five major opportunities that the CRA needed to focus on to improve the viability of the downtown:

- Establish an identity and brand for the Downtown District
- Focus on business attraction/retention and communications
- Create opportunities or the cross promotion of businesses and install directional/wayfinding signs
- Create merchandising, operational and business support to facilitate business growth
- Expand customer base through advertising and events

In the years since these initiatives have been identified, staff has successfully completed or is currently implementing, as an ongoing responsibility, all the strategies outlined in this study. It is to be noted that the task of keeping the district “top of mind” for prospective investors and businesses owners.

## **Communicate and coordinate**

“Good communication and coordination among groups and agencies can help ensure that all available resources support the community’s vision. For small cities with limited resources, this coordination can help achieve goals at minimal cost by avoiding redundancy, conflicting efforts, and/or spreading resources too thin for meaningful improvement.”

EPA, 2016, Framework for Creating a Smart Growth Economic Development Strategy: A Tool for Small Cities and Towns.

In an effort to raise awareness about the downtown and drive traffic to the Culinary Arts District, the CRA launched over one hundred annual events and activities throughout the year. Monthly events like Music on Main, seasonal events like the Culinary Arts Showcase, and annual events like Taste of Oakland Park, Holiday Village, and Oktoberfest have been successful in increasing awareness about the downtown experience. The CRA has also successfully marketed the downtown through its banner program and improved wayfinding initiatives. In addition, the CRA has implemented an aggressive social media program. The City has produced two videos that feature the City and the CRA, and plans to continue that effort.

The planning team has also utilized unconventional approaches to develop effective marketing strategies for the downtown through the creation of a “Culinary Think Tank” group. The Culinary Think Tank meets periodically to advance the Culinary Arts District in Oakland Park. The members of the Think tank represent local universities, banking institutions, urban planners, and various culinary industry representatives. The purpose of this group is to potentially leverage the resources that each organization may have to participate in the downtown initiatives as well as learn about the emerging trends and challenges for the culinary community. This group will be beneficial in informing the CRA about the challenges that a culinary startup will face, and may provide key partnerships to assist the CRA in providing much needed training and business incubation for culinary startups.

The future of branding the downtown will be improving upon the investments that have been made: 1) Expanding the wayfinding and directional sign efforts; 2) Growing the customer base for events in the downtown area; 3) Expanding our reach regarding the existence of the downtown through a focused marketing and advertising strategy; 4) Establishing a long-term training and business development assistance to ensure that the City retain existing business and provide the support for them to grow in the district.

## V. CONCLUSION

The Oakland Park CRA has an exciting opportunity to continue to redevelop the CRA district. This exciting opportunity began in 2005, stalled for a short time during the recession, and is once again gaining momentum. The foundational work completed in the downtown by the City and the CRA in 2007 – 2017 with the completion of multiple capital improvement projects, the implementation of quality of life programs, investment in landscape beautification, and implementation and expansion of business incentive programs is now bearing fruit. It is for these reasons that the CRA must continue to focus its efforts in the downtown area to capitalize on the momentum that is building for the Culinary Arts District brand, while also systematically laying the foundation for other CRA focus areas in preparation for the future.

As discussed above, it will be essential to the future development and sustainability of the Culinary Arts District to increase the number of residential units in the downtown area. The best way deliver those units is through the well-planned redevelopment of select sites in the downtown to mixed use, low rise, aesthetically impactful developments. These developments will not only work to secure the financial viability of the downtown, they will provide gathering spaces, parking, and employment opportunities. The public private partnerships, land acquisition, capital projects, along with the implementation of the new policies and programs herein will work together to advance the vision. The viability and growth of the downtown will positively impact the surrounding communities and spur private investment in the greater CRA district.

It must be noted that as the maturation of the downtown is occurring, the CRA also has plans to invest in the infrastructure of other areas throughout the CRA. Similar to what was done in the downtown for the last ten (10) years, the CRA will lay the foundation in other strategic locations in the district through investment in streetscape improvements, drainage improvements, code compliance, and business incentive programs. This foundational work will strategically prepare these emerging areas for the next step in the maturation of their redevelopment and ensure that the City and the CRA are well positioned to leverage opportunities for redevelopment in the emerging areas as it has in the downtown Culinary Arts District.

The CRA is full of opportunity, promise, and potential. The Downtown Culinary Arts District concept is unique and has the potential to be an amazing small town downtown destination and economic driver for the community. If implemented the 2017 - 2022 Strategic Action Plan provides the roadmap for this development. This plan along with the “foundational work” that has begun throughout the CRA will work together to advance redevelopment district wide and achieve the goals originally set for the CRA in 2005.

## VI. CRA PROJECT LIST

The following charts provide a full list of all projects currently contemplated within the CRA district. They are separated into four (4) categories; Capital Projects; Public Private Partnerships, Land Acquisition, and Policies and Programs. It is estimated that in order to complete all of the projects contemplated within the CRA district will require a financial investment of \$48 million dollars.

### Capital Projects

Project Title	Estimate
Downtown Infrastructure Improvements: Reconstruction of intersection of OPB and Dixie Highway NE 12 <sup>th</sup> Terrace Extension (North of Park Lane)	\$ 2,750,000
Traffic Improvements to Kimberly Lakes Neighborhood - Planning and Design	\$ 100,000
Improvements to NE 8th Avenue - Planning and Design	\$ 100,000
Improvements to NE 12 Terrace (South of OPB) - Planning and Design	\$ 100,000
Mobility - Development of Surface lots	\$ 1,800,000
Mobility- Parking Management System	\$ 50,000
Mobility - Vehicular Circulation changes NE 12 Ave	\$ 180,000
Mobility- Pedestrian Improvements throughout Downtown	\$ 8,200,000
Mobility - Streetscape Improvements throughout Downtown	\$ 250,000
Mobility - Expanded Bike Share and Station Installation plus annual maintenance	\$ 1,335,000
Mobility - Micro Transit Downtown Circular Plan	\$ 80,000
<b>Total Capital Projects</b>	<b>\$ 14,945,000</b>

### Public Private Partnerships

Project Title	Estimate
Development of West Dixie Lots - Long Term Mixed Use	\$ 2,500,000
Jaco Pastorius Park Culinary Marketplace Construction	\$ 3,269,000
Long Term Mixed Use SE Corner of 38 <sup>th</sup> Street and Main Street	\$ 1,500,000
Coastal Link Train Station	TBD
South Gateway Fountain and Plaza	\$ 1,481,200
Redevelopment of Post Office Site	\$ 10,000,000
<b>Total Public Private Partnerships</b>	<b>\$ 18,750,200</b>

## Land Acquisition

Project Title	Estimate
Land Acquisition – Downtown Property aggregation for mixed Use development	\$ 5,422,700
Land Acquisition – Parking and west side development	\$ 2,500,000
Land Acquisition – Jaco Park Culinary Marketplace	\$ 1,500,000
<b>Total Land Acquisition</b>	<b>\$ 9,422,700</b>

## Policies and Programs

Project Title	Estimate
<b>Land Use</b>	
East Harlem McBride Land Use Change	
H and S Subdivision Land Use Change	
NE 12th Terrace Land Use Change Art District Feasibility Study	
Central Park Industrial Center Land Use Change	No Cost
Floranada Design District	
Midtown Commercial Regulations	
Future Prospect Gardens Pocket Park Land Use Change	
<b>Incentive Programs</b>	
Commercial Façade	\$ 840,000
Public Art Program	\$ 700,000
Relocation Assistance	\$ 980,000
Strategic Investment Program	\$ 980,000
Attainable Housing	TBD
Marketing/ Branding Campaign	\$ 1,036,000
New Business Development Assistance and Training Program	\$ 280,000
New Oakland Park Downtown Development District Feasibility Study	\$ 50,000
<b>Total Policies and Programs</b>	<b>\$ 4,866,000</b>

## Total Remaining CRA Project Cost Estimate

Project Category	Estimate
Total Capital Projects	\$ 14,945,000
Total Public Private Partnerships	\$ 18,750,200
Total Land Acquisition	\$ 9,422,700
Total Policies and Programs	\$ 4,866,000
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 47,983,900</b>

## VII. 2017 – 2022 ACTION PLAN

The projects listed below are priority for the Oakland Park CRA, and are projected to be undertaken on or before Fiscal year 2022.

### Capital Improvement Projects

Project Title	Estimate
Phase I Planning and Design for Improvements to NE 12 Terrace (South of OPB) - including Arts District Feasibility Plan	\$ 100,000
Mobility - Development of Surface lots	\$ 1,800,000
Mobility- Parking Management System Design	\$ 10,000
Mobility – Phase I Planning and Design for of Vehicular Circulation Improvements NE 12 Ave	\$ 30,000
Mobility- Phase I Planning and Design for Pedestrian Improvements throughout Downtown	\$ 984,000
Mobility – Phase I Planning and Design Streetscape Improvements throughout Downtown	\$ 30,000
Mobility - Expanded Bike Share Partnerships and Station Installation	\$ 215,000
Mobility - Micro Transit Downtown Circular Plan	\$ 80,000
<b>Total Capital Projects</b>	<b>\$ 2,894,000</b>

### Public Private Partnerships

Project Title	Estimate
Development of West Dixie Lots Gap Funding	\$ 2,500,000
Jaco Pastorius Park Culinary Marketplace Construction	\$ 3,269,000
Long Term Mixed Use SE Corner of 38 <sup>th</sup> Street and Main Street – Gap Funding	\$ 1,500,000
South Gateway Fountain and Plaza ( <i>\$500,000 in Cultural Facility Grant funding secured</i> )	\$ 1,481,200
<b>Total Public Private Partnership</b>	<b>\$ 8,750,200</b>

### Land Acquisition

Project Title	Estimate
Land Acquisition – Downtown property aggregation for mixed use development	\$ 6,800,000
Land Acquisition – Parking	\$ 2,500,000
Land Acquisition – Jaco Park Culinary Marketplace	\$ 1,500,000
<b>Total Land Acquisition</b>	<b>\$ 10,800,000</b>

**Policies and Programs**

<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Estimate</b>
<b>Land Use</b>	
East Harlem McBride Land Use Change	
H and S Subdivision Land Use Change	
NE 12th Terrace Land Use Change	No Cost
Central Park Industrial Center Land Use Change	
Floranada Design District	
Midtown Commercial Regulations	
Future Prospect Gardens Pocket Park Land Use Change	
<b>Incentive Programs</b>	
Commercial Façade	\$ 150,000
Public Art Program	\$ 125,000
Relocation Assistance	\$ 175,000
Strategic Investment Program	\$ 175,000
Attainable Housing	TBD
Marketing/ Branding Campaign	\$ 185,000
New Business Development Assistance and Training Program	\$ 50,000
New Oakland Park Downtown Development District Feasibility Study	\$ 50,000
<b>Total Policies and Programs</b>	<b>\$ 910,000</b>

**Total 2017 – 2022 CRA Project Cost Estimate**

<b>Project Category</b>	<b>Estimate</b>
Total Capital Projects	\$ 2,894,000
Total Public Private Partnerships	\$ 8,750,200
Total Land Acquisition	\$ 9,422,700
Total Policies and Programs	\$ 910,000
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 21,976,900</b>

# ***APPENDIX***



CITY OF OAKLAND PARK  
Culinary Arts District

# TRANSPORTATION MOBILITY PLAN

February 2017




# Today's Agenda

- Vision
- What is Mobility?
- Observations and Recommendations
  - Parking
  - Vehicular Circulation
  - Pedestrian
  - Bicycling
  - Transit

# Vision

- Enhancing Mobility to Guide Economic Development
  - Fostering connections between the district and the surrounding neighborhoods
  - Connectivity between East and West sides of the Rail
  - Getting people out of the car to walk
  - Successful Integration of a new transit station

- Train Station
- - - Greenway Connections
- Open Space
- Potential Parking Areas
- Enhanced Bike/Ped Crossings
- - - Major Vehicular Paths



# Oakland Park Future at a Glance



City Hall, City of Oakland Park, FL




Las Ramblas, Barcelona




# Multimodal Transportation

- What is Mobility?
  - Providing the ability to move in all modes, (Car, walk, bike or transit)
- Mobility Plan Goals
  - Get people to the District in an easy manner
  - Reduce reliance on personal automobile
  - Provide Infrastructure to support alternative modes
  - Encourage foot traffic once within the District to promote economic development



# District Challenges and Opportunities

- East-West Divide – FEC Line
- District Qualities
  - Compact
  - Only 3 crossings
  - Roadways operating within acceptable Level of Service
  - Zoning and future land use aligned for TOD development
  - Good local support
  - Existing Infrastructure positives
    - Landscaping
    - Seating



# Parking Needs

- Expected Needs
  - Divided Analysis by East and West side of US-1
  - Based on expected buildable space
  - Existing Parking (Public, Private): 1853
  - LAC (2009): 2430
  - Adjustments:
    - If you use space for parking, you're not using it for retail, etc.
    - FEC Tri-Rail Station planning accounts for 216 spaces additional spaces needed.
  - Less parking is ok within the district, for alternative mode purposes.
    - But this doesn't mean no parking.

## May Want To Consider A Shift To A More Urban Code

The Current Code Allows:

East Total 3 Stories

Commercial Retail/Office with Residential **3,640**

Commercial Rest/Bar with Residential **12,353**

East Total 6 Stories

Commercial Retail/Office with Residential **7,628**

Commercial Rest/Bar with Residential **20,824**

West Total 3 Stories

Commercial Retail/Office with Residential **4,916**

Commercial Rest/Bar with Residential **13,457**

West Total 6 Stories

Commercial Retail/Office with Residential **9,251**

Commercial Rest/Bar with Residential **28,924**



# Parking Needs

What is the recommended parking at 3 and 6 story buildout.

Assumptions:

1. Mixed-Use
2. Improved Transit options (BCT and Coastal Link)
3. Current 1.56 autos/HH
4. Mix of usage = mix of times for peak parking
5. TDM and Rideshare programs available
6. Assumes additional space is for increased Commercial SF

Potential Needs (Conservative estimate, move away from suburban style code for a Transit Oriented Development/Downtown area)

East Total 3 Stories

Commercial Retail/Office with Residential **2,726**

Commercial Rest/Bar with Residential **3,610**

East Total 6 Stories

Commercial Retail/Office with Residential **5,948**

Commercial Rest/Bar with Residential **8,246**

West Total 3 Stories

Commercial Retail/Office with Residential **3,598**

Commercial Rest/Bar with Residential **4,212**

West Total 6 Stories

Commercial Retail/Office with Residential **7,101**

Commercial Rest/Bar with Residential **8,189**






# Parking

## Internal Connections

- **District-edge capture**
  - Where can we put parking?
  - How much is needed?
  - How do we phase it in?
  - How will it work for pricing?
  - How much traffic flows through the district? When?
    - Loading times should be set outside of normal business hours
    - Other vehicular traffic is really dependent on parking locations



# Parking Event Traffic

- Parking Management
  - Appears to function well
- Golf Cart Shuttles
- Took an hour to begin to fill. Peaking at about 85% capacity during June 2016 Funky Buddha event
- No issues during Oktoberfest
- Used NE 12<sup>th</sup> Street and S. Dixie spots, lot parking
- May become an issue with increased regular activity
- Current parking for events overlaps with potential train station parking expectations; will have to adjust in the future



# Parking

## Needs and Recommendations

**Guest Parking Standards** - Consider amending parking ratio for guests to 1 space for each 5 units (Currently 1 space for each unit)

**Parking In-Lieu Fee** – *Gradually* raise to account for new parking structure needs - \$24,000 (Currently \$15,000)

**Reduce Parking Required When:**

**Exemptions for developments/businesses less than 2,500 SF**

Car Share on reserved spaces

Development includes at least 3 additional multi-modal options, which could include a “ride-share” designated curb front pick-up area (similar to the airport)

**A 25% reduction for development located within .5 mile of future Tri-Rail station**

Developers commitment to maintain a Transportation Management Plan that indicates certain activities and measures (approved by the City)

**Short to Mid Term - Reduce On-Street Parking**

**(Short) No Off-Street Parking Between the Front of Buildings and the Street**

Prohibit parking between the street front and the building


Businesses are accustomed to this and if it is not specifically prohibited, it will still occur

**(Mid) Encourage Off-Street Parking by Price Control**

Charge less for off-street parking in a garage, people give up the convenience to save money

# Parking Needs

- Future Demand Management
  - Pay by Phone
  - Restaurant District
  - Need tracking
  - Ensure available parking and prevent circling
  - Should set a limit parking based on data to be collected
  - Have to balance between retail and residential needs
  - Carshare parking
  - Signage



## Short Term


Surface Lots.  
Land Acquisition.  
On-Street Parking

## Mid Term

New Structures.  
Begin Shifting on  
street parking to decks.

## Long Term


Ensure appropriate  
amounts of  
parking.  
Account for  
increase need due  
to Development of  
Mixed-Use  
Structures.



# Vehicular Circulation

## External Connections


- Only three main roads matter to the district externally:
  - *N Dixie Highway*
  - *Oakland Park Boulevard*
  - *NE 38<sup>th</sup> Street*
- **Compact: District is small enough that internal circulation is not essential for general population to shop/partake in business in the District.**



# Vehicular Circulation

## Internal Connections

- **District-edge capture** works here because of the size of the district
  - Small
  - Narrow
  - Available locations for relocation of parking
  - Existing alleys can be retained for loading zone purposes
  - Will reduce district vehicular/ped/bike conflicts
  - Can retain some on street parking on designated streets, but charge at a premium.
  - **Encourages foot traffic.**




# Vehicular Circulation

## Roadways – NE 12<sup>th</sup>/NE 40<sup>th</sup>

### Closure of RR crossing at NE 36<sup>th</sup> Street to vehicular traffic

- Minimal Impact
- Recommend closing to vehicular traffic, keeping open for Pedestrian, Bicycle traffic with a midblock crossing.
- Midblock crossing options:
  - Signalization
  - Mid-block Crosswalk





# Vehicular Circulation

## Vehicles NE 12<sup>th</sup> Ave

### Phase I (Short-term): 2-way

- Current lane appx 16 ft.
- Need 10' feet for lane for each lane
  - Remove 19 parking spaces
- Remove intersection island at NE 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue/NE 34<sup>th</sup> Street
- Road can curve around fountain at 34<sup>th</sup>

### Phase II (Mid Term): Partial Closure

- Signage additions, removals

### Phase III (Long Term): **Full closure**

- Minimal impact on traffic
- Repurpose area as pedestrian mall, with programmatic space



# Vehicular Circulation Station Access

West Palm Beach Tri-Rail Station,  
WPB, FL



South Pasadena Gold Line  
Station, South Pasadena, CA



# Vehicular Circulation Station Access



3<sup>rd</sup> Street Promenade, Santa Monica, CA

1 block from Santa Monica Light Rail Station



South  
Pasadena  
Farmers  
Market,  
Thursdays,  
4 to 8 pm



# Vehicular Circulation

## Short Term


Close 36<sup>th</sup> Street Crossing  
2-way Main Street

## Mid Term

Align Roadways to Parking/Traffic  
Calming as traffic increases  
Shift more parking off the streets


## Long Term

Conversion of roads to Pedestrian Malls




# Pedestrian Internal Circulation

- Average person will walk 0.25 miles, upwards of 0.5 miles in optimal conditions
- Barrier between subdistricts
- All streets in District should have either a sidewalk or shared use path
- Minor side streets should have minimum of 6' of sidewalk
- For standards: Consider main street for shops, offices should have minimum 8', upwards of 10' for seating for restaurants, etc.



# Pedestrian Amenities

- **Complete Network**
- **Shade:** Was lacking during field study, Additional shade via structures or plants necessary. Some areas are very well done. Other areas need improvement.
- **Lighting:** As a culinary arts district, expect more foot traffic at night. Need more lighting throughout, as well as considering crosswalk flashers on N Dixie Highway and Oakland Park Blvd.
- **Seating:** Seating along NE 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue is well done. However, the rest of the DMUD will also need seating as it develops.
- **Wayfinding:** Wayfinding may increase effectiveness of district branding as well as enhance the pedestrian realm.




# Pedestrian Amenities




- **Pavement:** Markings and materials to reduce speed within district. You already see these at some intersections.
- **Speed:** Reduce local district speed to 15 mph or less.



## A Pedestrian's Risk of Getting Killed by a Car Going:



# Pedestrian Internal Connections





# Pedestrian

## Short Term

Land Acquisition  
Complete Sidewalk Network

## Mid Term

Mixed-Use Paths  
Greenways  
Enhanced Crossings

## Long Term


Pedestrian Malls  
Greenways  
provide safe routes  
and programming  
space connecting the  
neighborhood




# Bicycle Internal/External Connections

## Vital Connections

- To and from the region
- To integrate the district and the neighborhoods
- To create ease in internal mobility
- To better connect east and west



# Bicycle External Connections



- Bikeshare – B-cycle
  - Closest Station to east is Appx. 2 miles
  - Closest Station to south is appx. 2 miles
  - Threshold: 30 minute ride/5 miles
  - Inter-local cooperation will help provide intermediate stations
  - Enhanced crossing at NE 16<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Oakland Park Blvd will facilitate connection to Culinary Arts (DMUD) district

# Bicycling

## Short Term


Bicycle Facilities on main roadways  
More Bicycle Racks

## Mid Term

Break up larger blocks  
Bikeshare stations  
Begin Internal Greenways

## Long Term

Complete Network  
More bikeshare and bike racks as needed




# Transit

Moving People




## External Connections – BCT Buses

- Buses
  - Broward County Transit Routes 50 and 72
  - Existing pull-outs on N Dixie Highway
  - Consider setting aside space for a pull-out on Oakland Park Boulevard for future



## External Connections - Rail

- Tri-Rail Coastal Link
  - Considerations for development
    - **Access**
      - **Walking**
      - **Bicycling**
      - **Vehicular**
        - **Parking**
        - **Transit Demand Management**
      - **Feeder Route Systems**
  - 2 Options for location



## External Connections - Rail

### Potential Needs:

2 ac. to 5 ac. (depending on various factors, but will be on lower end; this also includes the parking and buildings)  
Appx. 0.15 mi to 0.20 mi platform length  
Will not affect E-W roads with proper siting.

### Station Area Platforms:

### Parking Needs:

How many spaces?  
Preliminary plans put at 215  
Further Study needed  
Other Tri-Rail Stations?  
Range: 200 – 600  
Occupancy generally 50-80%

### Where?

Within an 1/8 mile.





## External Connections - Rail

- Tri-Rail Coastal Link
  - **NE 34<sup>th</sup> Street**
    - Requires further discussion with FEC.
    - Parking spots removed: Appx. 50
    - At grade pedestrian crossing at station/NE 33<sup>rd</sup> Street to facilitate shorter block to crossing for Pedestrians, Bicyclists (Also provides a safer, more direct path to walk to Oakland Park Elementary School.)
    - Closer to BCT Route 72 (Oakland Park Boulevard); easier E-W transfer
    - Parking in Vicinity
    - **Recommended Location**




## Internal Connections

**3 Alternatives evaluated: Trolley (Rail), Local Shuttle, Microtransit**

**Recommended Alternative 3: Microtransit**

- First/Last-Mile Program
- Regular or Antique-ish refurbished vehicles
- O and M affected by insurance given vehicular value
- Highly scalable
- Examples include *the Downtowner*



# Summary of Recommendations

- Parking
  - Revise Current Code
  - Put in/retain exemption clauses
  - Acquire land and designate future parking locations
  - All Parking Structures should be mixed-use
  - Parking should be shifted from road to in the long term
- Vehicular
  - No immediate need for NE 12<sup>th</sup>/NE 40<sup>th</sup>
  - Close RR crossing at 36<sup>th</sup>
  - Align district destination travel to NE 13<sup>th</sup> Ave, NE 10<sup>th</sup> Ave (collectors)
  - Reduce traffic into district
    - Reduce on-street parking within district
    - Concentrate parking on district edge
    - Roadway and block realignment for vehicular travel
  - Increase parking in-lieu fees to standards
  - Set specific times for loading zones/freight to businesses

# Summary of Recommendations

- Pedestrian
  - All roadways within district require sidewalks or mixed-use paths
  - Shade elements and lighting improvements necessary
  - Increased amount of seating
  - Pedestrian Bridge at 33<sup>rd</sup> Street/FEC-N Dixie with Station to increase cross district access
  - 36<sup>th</sup> Street – Mid-block Crossing at N Dixie Highway
  - Pedestrianize NE 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue
  - Consider pedestrian pocket and greenways system
  - Institute Enhanced Wayfinding system
- Bicycling
  - Bikeshare
  - Bike racks
  - Routes
    - Based on critical paths
    - Local roadways - Sharrows, reduce speed to 15 MPH or less.
  - Many of the recommendations follow pedestrian ones (Bridge at 33<sup>rd</sup>, greenways, etc.)
- Transit
  - External - Focus on Tri-Rail Station for 34<sup>th</sup> Street
  - Internal circulation – focus on smaller vehicle (cars) on set route



# Thank you

**THE CORRADINO GROUP**

# Management Partners



To: Mr. David Hebert, City Manager

From: Wayne Chapman, Partner  
Kevin Knutson, Regional Vice President

Subject: City Facilities Phase I

Date: March 24, 2017

---

The City of Oakland Park has commenced a strategic review of City operations including the redevelopment of Oakland Park's commercial core. Because the City of Oakland Park is essentially built-out, repurposing and reusing currently developed sites is the essential path to redevelopment.

One element of the review is an optimization of City facilities. Phase I of the City facilities study is a macro-level strategic analysis of facilities siting. Phase II of the study would focus on the details of facilities usage such as adequacy of space, a conditions assessment of key buildings identified, future space needs, and a formal space utilization plan.

The siting analysis was based on primary research and a review of the 2010 Facilities Master Plan. The purpose of the Facilities Master Plan was to "encourage a pragmatic approach to current and future development of the City's properties (...) with regards to future land use, the CRA Master Plan, and the recommendations of the Recreation and Parks Master Plan." This project will build on and update the previous work.

This memorandum addresses the Phase I of the project, the strategic analysis of current facilities siting.

## **Methodology**

Management Partners toured current Oakland Park government facilities, met with senior managers and staff at the various sites, and reviewed past facility studies. City staff were interviewed to develop a deeper understanding of departmental operations and services provided to the public. The interviews also included discussion of any perceived shortcomings the facility had regarding operations and customer service. The information provided during the interviews was used to provide context to the strategic siting analysis.

Management Partners compiled an inventory of City owned facilities (see Exhibit 1) based on the tour and information provided by City staff. The inventory included specific uses and

organizational units housed at each facility. City staff discussed the strategic development objectives of the City. This information provided the framework for aligning the siting of City facilities to best support the strategic development objectives, as well as opportunities for realigning those facilities to better serve customers and improve efficiency.

## **2010 Facilities Master Plan**

The 2010 Facilities Master Plan conducted by Architects Design Group, Inc. provided the City with a critical evaluation of the condition of City-owned facilities. Although the City has taken steps to address short-term maintenance issues, most of the observations about the long-term viability of the structures remain true today. Key observations of the 2010 report included (see Exhibit 2 at the end of this memo for a complete list):

- “The majority of the buildings are not built to current Florida Building Code and depending upon the level of renovation that may be desired, could require significant investment to upgrade to current codes.”
- “Critical facilities do not meet currently recommended enhanced building requirements for survivability, or design requirements as would be mandated by current Florida Building Code.”
- “All buildings surveyed, including new renovations,” do not have interior fire sprinkler systems.

In addition to the older construction and some age-related maintenance issues, the report noted that “most existing City facilities do not have the effective functional area that is recommended for the operations of these functions” or departments.

Our review confirms that this continues to be a significant problem for the City. The plan noted that the inventory of 67,432 square feet of available space was about 29,278 square feet lower than the estimated 96,720 square feet necessary to adequately support operations. Although this indicates that the City needed 43.4% more space in 2010, some reductions in staffing since then have helped the City continue to function within the available space. In addition, the report had a rather high population projection, which means that service demands and the need for space have not grown as quickly as originally anticipated. That said, the overcrowding observed at that time has continued, just not at the rate expected.

The two most significant recommendations of the plan were to:

- “Construct a new consolidated Public Works Complex for the Administration and Operations Divisions at the Municipal Services site” (at NE 5th Avenue) and
- “Construct [a] new City Hall at [the] current location or future site to be determined, pending the future development and goals of the Community Redevelopment Agency.”

As noted, the City implemented mitigation strategies to extend the useful life of the buildings, but the need to address these issues remains valid today.



## Principal City Facilities

Strategic facility siting decisions are driven by many considerations, including service demands, vehicle access, equipment storage needs, and the availability of adequate space. The most important consideration is how facilities could be realigned to better serve the residents of Oakland Park.

The inventory analysis and interviews with City staff resulted in a narrowing of the strategic siting analysis to:

*Table 1 – Principal City Facilities*

Facility	Address
City Hall Complex	
City Hall	3650 NE 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue
Library	1298 NE 37 <sup>th</sup> Street
Spiher Recreation Center/Green Leaf Park	1246 NE 37 <sup>th</sup> Street
Municipal Building	5399 N Dixie Highway
First floor – Engineering and Community Development and Fire Marshall	
Second floor – Broward Sheriff's Office	
Public Works and Parks Facility	3801 NE 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue
Public Works Administration	
Parks Maintenance Office	
Municipal Services Garage	3815 NE 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue
Public Works Operations	5100 NE 12 <sup>th</sup> Terrace

Notes on key issues for each principal facility follows.

### ***1. City Hall/Library Complex***

The City Hall/Library complex is located at 3650 NE 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue. In addition to the library, the facility contains the following functions: Commission Chambers; City Clerk; City Manager; Financial Services; Utility Billing; Community Redevelopment Agency; Human Resources and Risk Management; Information Technology Services; and Parks and Leisure Services Administration. The Spiher Community Center is also on site, providing after-school activities.

The City Hall building has been repurposed from a police and fire station from when Oakland Park had its own police department. The library was constructed for its current use as a library many years ago and does not meet current standards for that purpose, nor is it large enough to support the current size of the community. The complex includes a few off-street parking lots as well as adequate on-street parking spaces. The complex is in a targeted redevelopment zone with numerous commercial buildings and uses. It should be noted that The Funky Buddha Brewery—one of the more successful redevelopment projects—is within the same general area, which could be a catalyst for further development.





City staff shared some specific concerns about the facility in our interviews. The Human Resources Director cited a need for a space for employees to use for various purposes, such as group training, meetings, and a lunch or break area. She also noted that confidential interview space is limited.

The Information Technology space is cramped and surplus or backup hardware and supplies for this function are stored in spaces that are not designed for such uses.

The City Commission chambers is small. The room configuration dictates an audio-visual arrangement that is difficult for commission members as well as citizens in attendance to view comfortably. Even with planned upgrades, the room capacity and configuration is not optimal for public participation.

These shortcomings are not correctable in the current building due to its original design, as well as capacity constraints. Additionally, the potential need for major system renovations, such as a new roof or HVAC system could trigger required life safety upgrades that would increase the costs associated with the repairs. The 2010 Facilities Master Plan noted the following issues:

- The building lacks a fire sprinkler system.
- It is not a hardened structure and pre-dates current code requirements, in relation to wind load criteria.
- Former jail cells of solid masonry construction limit the ability for interior remodeling.
- The generator room is not large enough for the installation of a new generator of appropriate capacity, and related equipment. (This has been mitigated in emergencies through an exterior plug-in capacity.)

## ***2. Municipal Building***

The Municipal Building is located at 5399 North Dixie Highway. Originally constructed in 1974 as a medical office building located near a large regional hospital and medical services facility, it was purchased by the City in 2007. Major renovations were made to adapt the facility for the City's needs, which were completed in 2009. The first floor houses the Engineering and Community Development Department (ECD), including Planning, Engineering, Building and Permitting, and Community Enhancement. The Fire Marshall and Fire Prevention unit is also located on the first floor of the building. There is a total of 35 full-time and up to 10 contract staff assigned to the first floor of this building. Certain areas of the first floor are dysfunctional in their current configuration.

The existing first floor operations need additional space for both employees and support functions, including client meeting areas and storage. The Building plans review and permit divisions, located at this facility, will be fully digitized within two years.

The Broward County Sheriff's Office (BSO) provides police services to Oakland Park and is located on the second floor of the facility. There were no space shortcomings cited by BSO staff, although they did mention that the building's location near the edge of the City is not ideal.



On-site parking at the site is not customer-friendly, especially on days when plan reviews and permitting services are provided. Employee parking for ECD staff and City vehicles is limited on-site. BSO staff parking is also constricted. During our site visit the parking constraints were apparent as BSO vehicles were parked in spaces designated for other uses or in some cases parked in ways that blocked other vehicles.

### ***3. Public Works Administration/Fleet Services Center***

This facility is located at 3801 NE 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue. The complex is home to the Public Works Administration Unit and Fleet Services. The Solid Waste Collection service operates from this location as well, as do the Parks and Leisure Services maintenance crews.

The complex has ample space for fleet maintenance activities as well as for solid waste collection packers and parks service vehicles. It is located adjacent to both Wimberly Fields Park and Stevens Field Park; and near Dillon Tennis Center, Collins Community Center, and Fire Station 9. The buildings are single-story facilities constructed in a long, linear fashion. The site and buildings were previously used as warehouse space.

The department's administrative functions are in a separate building at a different site than the operations facility. This separation impedes the efficient flow of communications and administrative oversight.

### ***4. Public Works Operations***

This facility is located at 5100 NE 12<sup>th</sup> Terrace. The facility was originally constructed as a wastewater treatment plant that has since been decommissioned. Portions of the former facility house maintenance crews for water, stormwater, streets, and wastewater as well as the administrative support staff for these functions. The site also includes a large outdoor area that is not built and is used to store surplus supplies.

Because the Public Works Operations Complex site is a converted wastewater facility, offices are housed in a converted garage and maintenance facility. A leased trailer serves as a lunch area. The current office facilities are not adequate for sound management practices.

## **Strategic Siting Considerations and Recommendations**

Strategic facility siting is driven by the considerations described above. The most important consideration is how facilities could be realigned to better serve the residents of Oakland Park and lead to increased efficiency. Strategic siting should accommodate consideration of the highest and best use of land and also take into account the City's redevelopment vision and objectives.

### ***Recommendation 1: Consolidate City administrative services at a single site.***

From a customer service aspect, having a single site that residents can go to for most if not all regulatory and customer services is ideal. We have already noted some of the customer services



drawbacks to the current Municipal Building. In addition, work spaces are constricted and not conducive to efficient and effective customer service.

There are shortcomings to City Hall's accommodations, including a small and less than functional City Commission meeting space, inappropriate human resources intake and interview spaces, dysfunctional storage space, crowded information technology space and other conditions. City Hall is located on land that would be highly desirable for redevelopment.

Consolidating administrative departments of the City at a single new site would provide an opportunity for improved service to residents, provide better work spaces for employees, enable efficiency through appropriate colocation of staff, and free up land for the highest and best use.

Relocating ECD staff from the Municipal Building to a single City administrative building could also enable another potential service improvement. With ECD staff in a different location, the City-owned building currently housing ECD could be used to house other City services or could be leased to generate revenue.

If this option was implemented, the current City Hall and associated buildings could generate revenue by selling or leasing the property to help support relocation costs. Likewise, the current cost to operate and maintain the facility is likely higher than a new facility would be, providing additional cost recovery.

Additional analysis should be done to determine space needs and potential locations for a consolidated facility.

***Recommendation 2: Centralize Public Works Administration, Fleet Services and Solid Waste operations at the Public Works Operations Complex and build a new appropriate building.***

The Public Works functions at the NE 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue site, although adequate, are co-located with the maintenance functions of Parks and Leisure Services and apart from the Public Works Operations complex. As a solid waste collection marshalling yard, it is not appropriately sited as it is adjacent to the City's main recreational facility, and detracts from the enjoyment and attractiveness of that use. In addition, it is not optimized for travel or vehicle storage for waste collection services.

The Public Works Operations complex is located at 5100 NE 12<sup>th</sup> Terrace and has a host of shortcomings.

Consolidating all Public Works and Parks maintenance functions in a single new purpose built facility on the current operations site would provide the appropriate support for the functions of the City that are the most apparent and important to the residents and businesses of Oakland Park. It would also remove the waste collection marshalling yard from the City's primary and



most heavily used recreation complex, freeing up the existing buildings and land for sale or lease. Further review of the Public Works Operations Facility would be needed.

### **Conclusion**

Oakland Park, which is essentially built-out, has embarked on a process of redevelopment. The City is wisely considering how to optimize its facilities to accommodate redevelopment as well as improve service to its residents. This memorandum sets forth considerations and recommendations for strategically siting facilities, which is the first phase of a complete study of City facilities. The options presented better align city services for improved customer service and more efficient administration.



## Exhibit 1 – City Facilities

The analysis began with a review of the inventory of City-owned facilities based on information provided by staff. City-owned facilities can be summarized as follows:

*Table 2 – City Facilities*

Facility	Address	Building Square Feet	Property Acreage
City Hall	3650 NE 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	14,120	0.78
Municipal Building	5399 N Dixie Highway	30,858	1.83
Building leased to Broward 211	250 NE 33 <sup>rd</sup> Street	5,920	1.14
Building leased to Singing Sons	1229 NE 37 <sup>th</sup> Street	3,812	0.13
Building leased to Big Dog Saloon	2901 E Oakland Park Blvd	1,400	—
Vacant Lot	Dixie and NE 38 <sup>th</sup> Street	—	2.46
Fire Station #87	2100 NW 39 <sup>th</sup> Street	7,699	1.20
Fire Station #9	301 NE 38 <sup>th</sup> Street	6,750	—
Fire Station #20	4721 NW 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	5,100	0.38
Public Works and Parks Facility	3801 NE 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	3,172	3.46
Municipal Services Garage	3815 NE 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	4,844	—
Public Works Operations	5100 NE 12 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	2,025	5.00
Collins Community Center	3900 NE 3 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue	6,942	1.55
Wimberly Fields	4000 NE 3 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue	619	8.60
Dillon Tennis Center	4091 NE 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	321	2.49
Stevens Fieldhouse	3881 NE 6 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	1,782	3.95
Pioneer House	3860 NE 6 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	600	0.28
Carter Woodson Park	3490 NE 3 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue	200	1.87
Spiher Recreation Center	1246 NE 37 <sup>th</sup> Street	4,386	1.15
Library	1298 NE 37 <sup>th</sup> Street	13,471	0.55
Jaco Pastorius Community Center	1098 NE 40 <sup>th</sup> Court	5,480	0.30
L-Shaped Building	1101 NE 40 <sup>th</sup> Court	13,000	0.80
NAG Community Center A	250 NE 56 <sup>th</sup> Court	1,750	1.09
NAG Community Center B	250 NE 56 <sup>th</sup> Court	3,285	—
Nature Trail (leased from Broward County Schools)	1401 NW 38 <sup>th</sup> Street	—	5.50
Royal Palm Park Pavilion	4100 NW 17 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	637	33.68
Royal Palm Park Restrooms	1701 NW 38 <sup>th</sup> Street	500	—
Dog Park	931 NW 38 <sup>th</sup> Street	500	2.26
Lakeside Sandpine Preserve	2820 NW 27 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	400	5.62
Parking Lot West Prospect Road	at Andrews Avenue	—	1.50
Parking Lot East Prospect Road	at Andrews Avenue	—	1.40
Parking Lot	3900 NE 6 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	—	0.61

There is an ongoing operational study of the fire department. Should there be a need to review the fire stations listed above, they will be included in a future phase of the facilities review.



## **Exhibit 2 – Detail of Observations and Recommendations from the 2010 Facilities Master Plan**

The city facilities surveyed under the scope of this study are of varying age and condition, and many can be generally characterized as having been extended beyond their useful life with only minimal renovation and routine maintenance. Most of the existing buildings were originally constructed 20-40 years ago often for entirely different functional purposes, and subsequently have undergone a series of modifications and “piece-meal” additions that have tended to compromise their functionality. Significant issues that were observed are noted as follows:

1. As indicated by their age, the majority of the buildings are not built to current Florida Building Code and depending upon the level of renovation that may be desired, could require significant investment to upgrade to current codes. This factor alone may substantiate that the construction of a new facility is more economically feasible.
2. Although the City is implementing a plan, all buildings are observed to be non-ADA compliant to varying degrees, failing to meet either Federal or State ADA requirements for accessibility.
3. Critical facilities do not meet currently recommended enhanced building requirements for survivability, or design requirements as would be mandated by current Florida Building Code.
4. All buildings surveyed, including new renovations, are unsprinklered. State Life Safety Codes, such as NFPA 1, could mandate the retrofit of certain facilities with sprinkler systems, particularly if undergoing a renovation.
5. Facilities are overcrowded, with equipment and storage boxes compromising functional work areas and in some instances, stored in egress paths, a violation of life safety codes.
6. There are visible signs of moisture penetration in several buildings as a result of leaks, inappropriate construction, or deferred maintenance.
7. Many facilities have poorly sealed windows and doors, the source of significant heat and moisture gains and conditioned air loss.

In summary, many of the existing structures, accommodating critically important functions, are substandard and non-compliant with current codes. Their continued utilization, in their present condition poses specific risks to the City.

The aim of the Facilities Master Plan and Capital Improvement Program (C.I.P.) is to permit and encourage a pragmatic approach to current and future development of the City’s properties. In addition to factors previously discussed regarding space needs and the assessment of facility conditions, the proposed future development of properties has been considered with regards to Future Land Use, the CRA Master Plan, and the recommendations of the Recreation and Parks Master Plan, adopted October 2009.

The Facilities Master Plan is guided in the near term (5-year horizon) by one of the City’s seven “Strategic Performance Areas:” Financial Stability and Sustainability. The annual operations and maintenance budget is impacted both by the quantity of facilities, as well as the condition




of those facilities. Guiding the recommendations in this Plan is the premise of a “sustainable, consolidated, fiscally conservative” approach to managing the City’s facility needs. The “Near Term Plan” also borrows from the City’s overall business plan strategy to “reshape, automate, resize for excellence” (R.A.R.E.).

The operations and maintenance costs of the existing facilities inventory may be mitigated in 4 ways:

1. consolidate personnel and services at appropriate facilities to reduce the citywide facility area
2. vacate and cease maintenance of under-utilized and defunct facilities which have exceeded their useful life
3. sell or lease viable properties, and/or maintain properties at nominal operational expense to hold for future development
4. upgrade and repair facilities which will be utilized into the foreseeable future, to reduce annual maintenance expenditures and operation costs

These strategies are a primary consideration in the proposed Development Alternatives for many of the City properties. For some properties, long-term goals and objectives are of more significance, and may include the master planning and redevelopment of properties with expanded or new facilities. Importantly, these long range “master plans” also serve to guide the decisions related to investing in interim renovations.





DRAFT

Zoning Code Analysis

## Zoning- DMUD

October 25, 2013



Scale: 1" = 500'

